


#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:12:07 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:22:36 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:28
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	fair
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	fair
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>Presentation by Dr. Martti Hellström: "What next-how does Curriculum Reform 2016 affect schools in Finland? Myths and reality."</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>The context and environment are very important: a good and ergonomics furnitures, motivate students...like this the success is possible.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	moderately

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies very significant impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems high impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact


Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

From the headmaster

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 7 fair

Q25: General comments *Respondent skipped this question*

#2



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:15:02 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:25:37 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:34
IP Address: 62.236.215.16


PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	not applicable/ I didn't ask for it
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	fair
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>Teacher in the centre by Harri Luttinen. I liked the coaching method to help teachers use ICT in class.</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>I have learned new ICT programs I can use in my classes. I also learned that giving students responsibility is crucial for success.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	fair
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	moderately, Comment I expected to see more innovative projects in everyday class. In some of the classrooms I observed, the teacher was using a very traditional method.
Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:	
10 recommendations for your own school	good
Presentation of your own school	good
Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working	very significant impact
Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work	high impact
Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies	small impact
Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom	high impact
Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught	very significant impact
Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems	high impact
Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future	very significant impact
Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event	medium impact
Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)	7 fair
Q25: General comments	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#3



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:11:32 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:28:13 PM
Time Spent: 00:16:41
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	good
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>How does KUUMA consortium organize teacher-in-service training. I liked the ITC agents working in the centers, and the students ITC agents too.</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>I have liked the autonomy of the students and the respect between teachers and students. And the furnitures and spaces because I think they are very important to get a good school environment.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	moderately

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies very significant impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught very significant impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event medium impact


Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

I found in internet.

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 8 good

Q25: General comments *Respondent skipped this question*

#4



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:29:59 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:31:25 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:26
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 April Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work very significant impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies very significant impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom very significant impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught very significant impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact


Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event very significant impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments *Respondent skipped this question*

#5



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:18:32 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:33:14 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:41
IP Address: 194.100.50.66

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
The presentation of curriculum and innovation of the Most interesting for me. I learnt how I improve myself in my field	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
The flexibility and responsibility of students	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work very significant impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies very significant impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom *Respondent skipped this question*

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught very significant impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event very significant impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


I heard other teachers

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments

I learnt lots of things about New methodology and then I will trust my student I won't be sang the Same Song

#6



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:34:21 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:48:54 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:33
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	good
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>Teacher in the center is very interesting because it suggested to create a consortium., that is supported by municipal budget.</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>I learn how to change the relationship between teacher and student.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?

moderately,

Comment

I expected something more about administration

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school

fair

Presentation of your own school

good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working

medium impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work

medium impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies

high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom

medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught

medium impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems

high impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future

high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event

high impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

I find it on internet


Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)

8 good

Q25: General comments

The course is well organized but it is not for my personal job

#7



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:34:43 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:54:00 PM
Time Spent: 00:19:16
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>The topic is "What next - How does Curriculum Reform 2016 affect school in Finland" because it explains the innovative idea about background, goals, methods, content and evaluation of Finnish system</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>I have observed a different approach between teacher and children, a new way of independence of children and a special way to organize the school space. I learn that a flexibility is possible in a structured moment.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school

fair

Presentation of your own school

good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working

very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work

small impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies

very significant impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom

medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught

very significant impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems

very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future

very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event

very significant impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

Internet, site of Euneos Courses


Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)

9 very good

Q25: General comments

Respondent skipped this question

#8



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:49:13 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:55:39 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:26
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	good
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>I liked "Teacher in the centre" because It gave me idea to improve my work.</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>I have learnt how modify my relationship with my students</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	fair
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	much, Comment I expected more about methodology

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school fair

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working small impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work small impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom small impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught medium impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future medium impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


internet

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 8 good

Q25: General comments

It expected more observations in classroom about what I teach and I hoped to receive more digital inputs

#9



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:31:55 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:55:50 PM
Time Spent: 00:23:54
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	not applicable/ I didn't ask for it
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	not applicable
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	not applicable
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
<p>Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.</p> <p>I enjoyed the presentation by Harri Luttinen because he explained how Finnish teacher are supported in their own everyday work. He talked about didactic, pedagogic and project support and it is something that we don't have in my Country, and I think we should have because we sometimes need a support to perform efficiently our daily work.</p>	
<p>Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.</p> <p>I had the chance to observe two maths lessons in high school and a lessons in the primary school. In that lessons the teacher did not use any kind of particular technological support, but I noticed that student were very quiet and attended the lessons listening to the teacher and keeping notes. I really like that in the primary school tables were placed in a U shape or in circle and many chairs or cushions were placed in the corridors to let students sit and read.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?

much,

Comment

I could not attend many lessons in the classes corresponding to my age students (11-13).

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school

fair

Presentation of your own school

good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working

high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work

small impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies

high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom

medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught

high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems

high impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future

very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event

high impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

Euneos Courses website


Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)

9 very good

Q25: General comments

I really enjoyed this course because it has been a great opportunity to learn new things, to meet colleagues from other Countries and to compare our methods with the other's. It let me improve my language skills and let me reflect on my teaching style.

#10



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:33:10 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:56:59 PM
Time Spent: 00:23:48
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	not applicable/ I didn't ask for it
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	not applicable
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	not applicable
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 April Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
Presentation by Dr Martti Hellström was most interesting for me because he was very funny and spoke about finnish school's purposes	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
The lessons are no so different from ours	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies medium impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom high impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems medium impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event very significant impact


Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

On internet

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 8 good

Q25: General comments *Respondent skipped this question*

#11



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:38:01 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:59:00 PM
Time Spent: 00:20:59
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
<p>Innovation. A good school system is the foundation of a successful society.</p>	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
<p>Learning by doing, constant use of technology.</p>	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much, Comment The trainers were very competent and kind

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom high impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems high impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


On internet

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments

I was happy to join this experience!
Bye Finland and thanks!

#12



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:36:10 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:59:42 PM
Time Spent: 00:23:32
IP Address: 62.236.215.16

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-27 Oct Helsinki
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
Lectures regarding innovation in particular coaching.	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
New methodologies.	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught medium impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems high impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event very significant impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


Internet euneos courses

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments

Very good, Thank you!

#13



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 3:55:42 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:02:17 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:34
IP Address: 31.4.181.62

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
All the presentation at the beginning of TVE day. It has been most of the programme very useful	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
The philosophy of work in Finland education. I have a lot of questions for myself and my school	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
No pupils in the school	
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	
Very interesting person. We have to learn a lot	
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work medium impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom very significant impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact


Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

I had heard in my school.

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 8 good

Q25: General comments *Respondent skipped this question*

#14



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 3:56:31 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:03:38 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:06
IP Address: 213.35.184.210

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
See the students in action and speak with the teachers	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
The methodology	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
The creativity	
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	
The innovación in university	
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies medium impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact


Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

Other Teacher in erasmus meeting

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments *Respondent skipped this question*

#15



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 3:59:19 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:03:50 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:31
IP Address: 5.169.253.35

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
School management, ict	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
Work by project is A very good methods	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
The lessons were close	
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	
Very very beautiful living space	
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom very significant impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event very significant impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


School education gateway

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments

Very beautiful experience

#16



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:01:51 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:12:48 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:56
IP Address: 213.35.184.210

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form very good

Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website very good

Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails very good

Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course very useful

Q5: Which course did you attend 23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn

Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general) very good

Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.

Dr. Martti Hellström gave an excellent presentation and I was able to learn about the school reform and how it evolved. I learned about the reality of the education system versus some myths.

Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

I learned about the more flexible classroom management in Finland versus the more traditional and rigid system in my school.

Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes). very good

Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system very good

Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

We were not able to observe the class because there was a holiday for students.

Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.

The presentation was an excellent way to contrast and compare the Finnish and the Estonian system. I have learn about the main similarities and differences.

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general? very much,
 Comment
 It was very well organized. I enjoyed all presentations.
 It was a very good mix of topics.

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school not applicable

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working very significant impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies medium impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom very significant impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught very significant impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


I was looking for a program to visit Finnish classrooms. I came across Euneos online.

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments

I like the experience in general and I would recommend it to other colleagues.

#17




COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:04:05 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:13:31 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:26
IP Address: 5.169.253.35

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	very good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
My like the programm the vocational school	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
Very silent	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
No observations lessons	
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	
The school system. It is very complete	
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much

#18



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:00:36 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:13:56 PM
Time Spent: 00:13:20
IP Address: 213.35.184.210

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	not applicable/ I didn't ask for it
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	I didn't take part
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	"What next" by Dr Hellström. I like Marti's passion and I learn more about Finland methods. I like working in group too
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	Students are very free, there isn't interaction between teachers and students
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	fair
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	Unfortunately we couldn't do any observation, because students are on holiday
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	I've learned Estonian school system and training teachers methods
Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school fair

Presentation of your own school fair

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work medium impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom small impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught no impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future very significant impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event medium impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


School education gateway

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 8 good

Q25: General comments

I would like to observe the Estonian classes and to meet Finnish teachers, maybe work with them in a workshop too.

#19



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:00:10 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:17:44 PM
Time Spent: 00:17:34
IP Address: 213.35.184.210

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
Presentation of vocational School and Presentation of National curriculum. I learn how is the education in Finland	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
Students have much more freedom that in Italy and teachers are less stressed	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
We don't have observed lesson because the students were in the week of break	
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	
I learnt a lor of informations about educational System in Estonia	

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?

very much,

Comment

I would like more observation in vocational school

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school

good

Presentation of your own school

good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working

high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work

high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies

high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom

medium impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught

medium impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems

very significant impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future

high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event

medium impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?

Catalogue of School education gateway

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)


8 good

Q25: General comments

Very good coaches

I would like to talk with some Finnish or Estonian teacher of My subject

#20



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:07:58 PM
Last Modified: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:26:29 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:30
IP Address: 213.35.184.210

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form very good

Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website very good

Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails very good

Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course useful

Q5: Which course did you attend 23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn

Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general) *Respondent skipped this question*

Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.

All presentations were interesting, The difference between countries are interesting and saw that they work in their countries.

Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

I learn some different methodologies to use with our pupils, The teachers are friendly and the pupils choose that they want learn. I think that The most important thing is learning by doing

Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes). good

Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system good

Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

There arent pupils in The school, so we visit the buildings. The buildings are very beautiful place but is important see The pupils and The childrens in The class.


Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.

I learn The differences with my country, The secrets of The PISA results, Mart explains the PISA very good.

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much
Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:	
10 recommendations for your own school	good
Presentation of your own school	good
Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working	high impact
Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work	high impact
Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies	high impact
Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom	high impact
Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught	high impact
Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems	very significant impact
Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future	high impact
Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event	very significant impact
Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?	
Hear from other teachers	
Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)	9 very good
Q25: General comments	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#21



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 31, 2016 12:37:49 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 31, 2016 12:44:23 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:34
IP Address: 81.186.135.56


PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good
Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.	
Presentation for in service training	
I have learned about the support provided to teachers for ICT	
Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
New usefull best practices aboutteaching techniques	
Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.	
We did not because it was holidays	
Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.	
Tallin education system	

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much
Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:	
10 recommendations for your own school	good
Presentation of your own school	good
Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working	very significant impact
Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work	very significant impact
Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies	very significant impact
Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom	high impact
Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught	very significant impact
Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems	very significant impact
Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future	very significant impact
Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event	very significant impact
Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?	
On internet	
Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)	9 very good
Q25: General comments	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#22



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 31, 2016 5:54:38 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 31, 2016 7:24:04 PM
Time Spent: 01:29:26
IP Address: 109.242.206.135

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good

Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.

I found very interesting the presentation for in- service training.I have learnt that teachers have pedagogical and technical support from ICT agents.Students can be also ICT agents.

Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

I learnt how nice and peaceful pupils collaborate together,every disabled pupil has his/her own assistant and classrooms have technical equipment.

Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	good
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------

Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------

Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

Unfortunately we didn't have the chance to observe lessons in Tallinn.


Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.

I have learnt the educational system in Estonia, the reasons of success in PISA results and the teachers education.

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much
Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:	
10 recommendations for your own school	good
Presentation of your own school	good
Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working	high impact
Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work	high impact
Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies	high impact
Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom	high impact
Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught	high impact
Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems	high impact
Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future	high impact
Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event	high impact
Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?	
I found it on internet(research on internet)	
Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)	9 very good
Q25: General comments	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#23



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 1:10:37 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 1:43:29 AM
Time Spent: 00:32:51
IP Address: 78.87.77.116

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form	good
Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website	very good
Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails	very good
Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course	very useful
Q5: Which course did you attend	23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn
Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general)	very good

Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.

For me one of the most interesting presentations was: ' How does KUUMA consortium organize teacher-in-service training? ' by Harri Luttinen. I think is very important for the teacher to be in the center, to have support and continuous training.

Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

The pupils were really responsible, quiet and cooperative. They are learning by doing for example in the sewing or cooking lesson, which is important. They study in a pleasant and relaxed environment.

Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes).	very good
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------

Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system	very good
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------

Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

No observation because the pupils were in vacation.

Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.

We were informed about Estonia's educational system, overview, curricula, Pisa results etc.

Benchmarking evaluation 16 -17

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general? very much

Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:

10 recommendations for your own school good

Presentation of your own school good

Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working high impact

Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work high impact

Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies high impact

Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom high impact

Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught high impact

Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems high impact

Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future high impact

Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event high impact

Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?


Searching on the internet.

Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology) 9 very good

Q25: General comments

It was a really nice experience. The course was really interesting and well organised.

#24



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 6:35:37 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 7:03:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:27:24
IP Address: 77.49.0.174

PAGE 1: Benchmarking courses school year 2016-2017

Q1: Support to fill in the application form very good

Q2: Quality of the information supplied before the course on the website very good

Q3: Sufficient and immediate answers to your personal mails very good

Q4: Was it useful to take part in the video conference (zoom.us) before the course very useful

Q5: Which course did you attend 23-29 Oct Helsinki+Tallinn

Q6: Quality of the course programme (in general) very good

Q7: Programme in Helsinki. You have had presentations on different topics e.g. Innovation, school management, support for students etc. Mention shortly in the comment box which presentation was most interesting for you and what you have learnt from it.

The curriculum's presentation was very interesting. There have been defined the goals, its content, methods and the evaluation system.

Q8: Programme in Helsinki. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

What was the most interesting about the lessons' observations was the the technological support in the classes as well as the human support when need it. Interactional boards, computers all these materials in teacher's service.

Q9: Methodology of the course. Was there a good balance between the 4 parts of the course (presentations, classroom observations, sharing information, working on own outcomes). very good

Q10: learnt about the various characteristics of the Finnish educational system very good

Q11: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have observed lessons. What did you learn most from the observations.

The Esthonian educational system is also a very well structured system based on goals and the needs of the Esthonian people. Technological support, project based learning were some of the most interesting features of this system.

Q12: Only for participants who had programme in Tallinn. You have had a presentation in Tallinn university. Mention shortly in the comment box what you have learnt from this presentation.

We learnt about Esthonian country. Who are they, their historical background, their attitude towards education., about teacher's salary, about their plans for future education, their teacher's training and finally how they use technological support in their classes in order to maximize student's results

Q13: To what extent did the course programme meet your expectations in general?	very much
Q14: The KA1 application insists on creating outputs to be delivered during the course. To what extent are you satisfied with your outputs on:	
10 recommendations for your own school	good
Presentation of your own school	good
Q15: The course encouraged me to adopt a more reflective approach to the way I teach/ carry out my duties on teaching/ working	very significant impact
Q16: The course increased my awareness of new methods of assessing/ giving credit for skills or competences acquired in school / project work	high impact
Q17: The course improved my foreign language competencies	very significant impact
Q18: The course encouraged me to use more ICT in the classroom	very significant impact
Q19: The course refreshed my attitude towards teaching/ the subject taught	high impact
Q20: The course updated my knowledge of other countries/ cultures/ education systems	very significant impact
Q21: The course motivated me to carry on developing my professional skills in the future	very significant impact
Q22: The course led or will lead to establishing partnerships/ co-operation with schools represented at the training event	very significant impact
Q23: How did you find out about this course? Please specify: did you find it on internet (which site); or in the course catalogue of School Education Gateway; or did you hear from other teachers or headmaster; other?	
On the catalogue of School Education Gateway	
Q24: Give a mark for the in-service training activity (content and methodology)	9 very good
Q25: General comments	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>